

One return per
school please

Subject : _____

Territory-wide System Assessment 2004
Marker's Report

To be completed immediately after the marking and returned to the Hong Kong Examinations and Assessment Authority by post or by fax.
Address : 25/F, 133 Wan Chai Road, Hong Kong Fax : 25910728

Name of School : _____ Average rate of marking : ____scripts/hr
(BLOCK LETTERS PLS)

1. Comments on the assessment paper as a whole

In terms of quantity, the FULL PAPER (consisting of all the sub-papers) is	too long <input type="checkbox"/>	slightly long <input type="checkbox"/>	about right <input type="checkbox"/>	slightly short <input type="checkbox"/>	too short <input type="checkbox"/>
In terms of difficulty, the FULL PAPER is	too difficult <input type="checkbox"/>	slightly difficult <input type="checkbox"/>	about right <input type="checkbox"/>	slightly easy <input type="checkbox"/>	too easy <input type="checkbox"/>
In terms of coverage of the assessment areas, the FULL PAPER is	well balanced <input type="checkbox"/>	generally balanced <input type="checkbox"/>	slightly unbalanced <input type="checkbox"/>	very balanced <input type="checkbox"/>	
Other comments (e.g. the length of individual sub-papers in terms of the time allowed, style of questions etc.) :					

2. Comments on the individual questions/parts (Please indicate sub-papers (e.g. E1A) and section nos. (if appropriate).)

Which questions/parts are exceptionally difficult?	
Which questions/parts are exceptionally easy?	
Which questions/parts are ambiguous?	
Which questions/parts demand material outside the assessment areas?	
Which questions/parts are good enough to serve as models?	

2. (Cont'ed)

Other comments :

3. Comments on the marking scheme (please give details)

Do the points in the marking scheme fit the questions?	
Is the marking scheme easy to following?	
Does the marking scheme allow a reasonable degree of discretion for markers?	
Other comments :	

4. Comments on students' performance (in view of the large number of questions, please only comment on those which are noteworthy, quoting the sub-papers (e.g. E1A) and section nos. (if appropriate).)

Which questions/parts were well answered?	
Which questions/parts were badly answered?	
What are the common mistakes made by students?	

5. Any other comments on the assessment in the subject.

--