This chapter sets out how the Basic Competency standards were set and maintained in the Territory-wide System Assessment as well as how students' ability indices were estimated. It also explains how the 2016 P. 3 Basic Competency attainment rates of Chinese Language, English Language and Mathematics were calculated using the data from some 50 participating schools. The chapter concludes with the results of the 2016 Territory-wide System Assessment.

## How the Standards were Set

BCs are the essential knowledge/skills acquired by students (only including part of knowledge and ability) of Chinese Language, English Language and Mathematics as set out in the curriculum for each key learning stage (P.3, P. 6 and S.3). After the first year's administration of the Territory-wide System Assessment at each level (i.e. P. 3 in 2004, P. 6 in 2005 and S. 3 in 2006) by the HKEAA, panels of experts were formed to set the Basic Competency standards for the three subjects: Chinese Language, English Language and Mathematics. The Basic Competency standards set remain unchanged across the years.
Two well-known methodologies, namely the Angoff method and the Bookmark method, were used for setting the standards. For the Angoff method, the experts were asked to imagine a student who has grasped the BCs at the end of his/her respective key stage (P.3, P. 6 or S.3). Each expert was asked to write down in a well prepared form their envisaged probabilities for this student to answer each of the items correctly. The average of the totals of these probabilities of the entire panel, excluding the outliers, would be compiled. For the Bookmark method, each expert was required to insert a metaphorical 'bookmark' in the pile of a sample of scripts/ performances to separate those deemed as meeting the standard and those not meeting the standard. The results of this exercise, excluding those of the lenient and inconsistent experts, were pooled and a consensus judgment made about the final position of the 'bookmark'. The results of these two methods were considered alongside relevant international standards in determining the final cut scores. This ensures that the standards set in Hong Kong are competitive with those of other regions.

## How the Standards are Maintained

To maintain the standards set, a research test (or anchor test) is used to link and equate students' performance shortly before the conduct of each year's Territory-wide System Assessment. This research test was taken by a specified number of students on a stratified sampling basis in the first year (Year 1 in Table 4.1) when approaching the assessment dates of the Territory-wide System Assessment. In the subsequent year (Year 2 in Table 4.1), the same test was taken by about the same number of students as in Year 1 close to the implementation of the Territory-wide System Assessment. Table 4.1 shows how students' responses data are linked into a big matrix.

Table 4.1 Linking Methods in Standard Maintenance

| Student Item | TSA Year 1 | Research Test | TSA Year 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students in <br> Year 1 | Students' Responses |  |  |
|  |  | Sample Students' Responses |  |
| Students in <br> Year 2 |  | Sample Students' Responses | Students' Responses |
|  |  |  |  |

In Year 1, the difficulty indices of the research test items would be estimated together with that of the Territory-wide System Assessment items. Similarly in Year 2, the difficulty indices of the research test items would also be estimated together with that of the Territory-wide System Assessment items. By assuming the difficulty indices of the research test items being comparable, the difficulty indices of the Territory-wide System Assessment items in Year 2 could be calibrated with Year 1. In other words, with the common research test, the difficulty indices of the Territory-wide System Assessment items in Year 1 and Year 2 could be calibrated on the same scale. Hence, the performance of the students in Year 2 is comparable to that of the students in Year 1. The benchmark set in the first year's Territory-wide System Assessment (i.e. P. 3 in 2004, P. 6 in 2005 and S. 3 in 2006) could then be used to determine which students in the subsequent years can achieve the Basic Competency standard. In doing so, the benchmark of the Basic Competency standard set in the first year remains unchanged across the years.

## Estimate Students' Ability Indices

For each of the three subjects (namely Chinese Language, English Language and Mathematics), one single paper which covers the full Basic Competency scope would be too lengthy for a student. Therefore, several sub-papers would be set for each subject where a student is only required to attempt one of the sub-papers. There would be a number of overlapping items covered among the sub-papers for equating purposes. Table 4.2 is an illustrative example of the paper design for a subject on three sub-papers.

Table 4.2 Overlapping Items in Paper Design

| Sub-paper__ Item | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sub-paper 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-paper 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-paper 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

After administrating the assessment, the responses from all students of the three sub-papers are merged into a single data matrix from which the item difficulty indices as well as students' ability indices are estimated using psychometric methods. Since each sub-paper includes overlapping items for equating purposes, a student's ability index can be estimated regardless of the difficulty of the sub-papers. In other words, the measure of a student's ability index is independent of which sub-paper he/she attempts.

## Results of Territory-wide System Assessment in 2016

Following the recommendations of the Committee, the HKEAA invited some 50 schools of different districts, school types (government, aided, direct subsidy scheme and private) and school sizes (i.e. about $10 \%$ of the number of primary schools in the territory) to take part in the Tryout Study. Apart from the invited schools, interested schools were welcome to participate. In order to ensure the representativeness, reliability and validity of the Tryout Study, the HKEAA adopted statistical methodology to calculate the 2016 territory-wide Basic Competency attainment rates of the three subjects (Chinese Language, English Language and Mathematics) using the data collected in the Tryout Study. As in previous years, the calculation of this year's P. 3 Basic Competency attainment rates was in accordance with the Basic Competency standards set in 2004. The aforementioned methods for standard setting, standard maintenance and estimation of students' ability indices were also applied to this Tryout Study.

The aforementioned procedures for standard maintenance were applied and the final result in the percentages of students achieving BCs in 2016 is summarised in Table 4.3.

Table 4.3 Territory-wide Percentages of Students Achieving BCs

| Subject and Level |  | Percentages of Students Achieving BCs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Chinese Language (Listening, Reading and Writing) | P. 3 | 82.7 | 84.7 | 85.2 | 84.9 | 85.4 | \# | 85.9 | 86.4 | 86.1 | 86.6 | 86.3 | 86.4 | $85.8^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | P. 6 | -- | 75.8 | 76.5 | 76.7 | 76.4 | \# | 77.0 | 77.2 | $\wedge$ | 78.1 | $\wedge$ | 77.7 | $\wedge$ |
|  | S.3* | -- | -- | 75.6 | 76.2 | 76.5 | 76.5 | 76.8 | 76.7 | 76.9 | 77.1 | 77.0 | 77.2 | 77.4 |
| English Language (Listening, Reading and Writing) | P. 3 | 75.9 | 78.8 | 79.4 | 79.5 | 79.3 | \# | 79.2 | 79.8 | 79.7 | 80.4 | 80.3 | 80.4 | $81.1^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | P. 6 | -- | 70.5 | 71.3 | 71.3 | 71.5 | \# | 71.6 | 71.7 | $\wedge$ | 72.4 | $\wedge$ | 72.0 | $\wedge$ |
|  | S. 3 | -- | -- | 68.6 | 69.2 | 68.9 | 68.8 | 69.2 | 69.2 | 69.1 | 69.5 | 69.3 | 69.4 | 69.6 |
| Mathematics | P. 3 | 84.9 | 86.8 | 86.9 | 86.9 | 86.9 | \# | 87.0 | 87.0 | 87.3 | 87.5 | 87.4 | 87.6 | $89.9{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  | P. 6 | -- | 83.0 | 83.8 | 83.8 | 84.1 | \# | 84.2 | 84.1 | $\wedge$ | 84.2 | $\wedge$ | 84.0 | $\wedge$ |
|  | S. 3 | -- | -- | 78.4 | 79.9 | 79.8 | 80.0 | 80.1 | 80.1 | 79.8 | 79.7 | 79.9 | 79.9 | 80.0 |

Note: * Chinese Audio-visual component included in the calculation of the cut score at the S. 3 level since 2007.
\# Due to Human Swine Influenza causing the suspension of primary schools, the Territory-wide System Assessment was cancelled and no data has been provided.
$\wedge$ The P. 6 Territory-wide System Assessment was suspended in 2012 and 2014. Since 2015, the P. 6 Territory-wide System Assessment has been implemented in odd-numbered years. School participation has been on a voluntary basis in even-numbered years. Since participation in this assessment was on a voluntary basis and not all P. 6 students were involved, no territory-wide data is provided in this report.
$\Delta$ The 2016 P. 3 Territory-wide System Assessment was conducted as part of the Tryout Study. The Basic Competency attainment rates of the Chinese Language, English Language and Mathematics subjects were calculated using the data from some 50 participating schools.

On the whole, the proportion of students achieving BCs at P. 3 and S. 3 was highest in Mathematics followed by Chinese Language and English Language. Table 4.3 shows the proportion of students achieving BCs decreases over the key stages. Examining the performance of P. 3 and S. 3 students, it is possible to discern overall trends, which are shown graphically in Figures 4.1 and 4.2.

## STANDARD SETTING AND MAINTENANCE

Figure 4.1 P.3 Territory-wide Percentages of Students Achieving BCs


Figure 4.2 S. 3 Territory-wide Percentages of Students Achieving BCs


Table 4.4 summarises some key statıstics tor those 2010 Territory-wide System Assessment students who also took the Territory-wide System Assessment three years ago.

Table 4.4 Number and Percentages of Cohort Students Achieving or Not Achieving BCs in 2013 P. 6 and 2016 S. 3

| Subject | Chinese Language | English Language | Mathematics |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Achieved both P.6 BCs in | 31,248 | 29,262 | 34,028 |
| 2013 and S.3 BCs in 2016 | $(72.0 \%)$ | $(67.2 \%)$ | $(77.9 \%)$ |
| Achieved P.6 BCs in 2013 | 3,925 | 3,188 | 3,862 |
| but not S.3 BCs in 2016 | $(9.0 \%)$ | $(7.3 \%)$ | $(8.8 \%)$ |
| Achieved S.3 BCs in 2016 | 3,476 | 2,578 | 1,910 |
| but not P.6 in 2013 | $(8.0 \%)$ | $(5.9 \%)$ | $(4.4 \%)$ |
| Number of students sitting |  |  |  |
| both P.6 TSA in 2013 and | 43,395 | 43,525 | 43,678 |
| S.3 TSA in 2016 |  |  |  |

To generate the above table, it was necessary to link the data for 2013 and 2016. After matching the student records, approximately 43,000 students sat the P. 6 Territory-wide System Assessment in 2013 and the S. 3 Territory-wide System Assessment in 2016. Most students who achieved BCs in 2013 also achieved BCs in 2016. These results indicate that having a solid learning foundation in junior levels is beneficial to learning in the next key stage. Teachers' early acquisition of solid assessment data is most useful in enhancing students' learning.

